

**APPENDIX A "CALL IN FORM"**

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY CALL- IN FORM**

To be completed by 4 Members, not all from the same political group or any 5 non-executive members of the Council. Members are requested to refer to the Overview and Scrutiny Call-In Protocol to assist with the completion of this form.

**1. DECISION**

**Title:** Disposal of Housing Revenue Account (H.R.A) Land at Goodison Boulevard, Lentley and the acquisition of  
**Date Taken** Goodison House and Plantation View.

5<sup>th</sup> October

**Implementation Date:**

21<sup>st</sup> October

**Decision Maker:**

Cabinet

**Implementer:**

Peter Dale

**Relevant O&S Body:**

O's & S

**REASONS FOR CALL-IN**

An effective decision should be made in accordance with the following principles:

1. Has been taken in accordance with the Mayor's Scheme of Delegation.
2. Is consistent with the Council's Constitution.
3. Is consistent with the budget and policy framework.
4. Is consistent with the codes of conduct for Members and Employees.
5. Includes objectives and outcomes which are clear and defined.
6. Seeks to further the achievement of the Council's Strategic objectives (e.g. Borough strategy and Corporate Plan).
7. Seeks to promote equality and the elimination of unlawful discriminations.
8. Seeks to promote the economic, social and environmental well-being of the Borough.
9. Seeks to promote the reduction of crime and disorder.
10. Is rational, based on sound judgement, all relevant issues taken into account and all irrelevant issues disregarded. This includes consideration of any alternative options and any significant risks and assumptions.
11. Encourages openness and accountability through consultation and participation.
12. Takes account of and ensures ethical and financial probity and the good management of financial, human and physical resources.
13. Seeks to pursue lawful, proportionate and reasonable decision making taking into account all relevant factors and having regard to the European convention on human Rights.

**1. PLEASE STATE BELOW YOUR REASON(S) FOR CALL IN**

- i. Please clearly indicate which of the principle(s) (1-13 above) you believe has/have not been adhered to when taking this decision and explain **HOW** and **WHY** you believe this decision is inconsistent with any of the principles you have indicated.

*Please see attached sheets*

- ii. Please identify any adverse effects you believe are likely to arise from the decision being taken.

(Please complete on a separate sheet if necessary)

**2. HAS THIS DECISION PREVIOUSLY BEEN CONSIDERED BY OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY?**

Yes  No

If Yes please complete the following 3 questions:

- i. Why having already been considered by Overview and Scrutiny does this issue need to be reconsidered?
- ii. Are there any issues that were not taken account of during Overview and Scrutiny's initial consideration?
- iii. Are there any significant changes or revisions that have taken place since Overview and Scrutiny's initial consideration?

(please complete all 3 points)

**3. HAVE YOU CONTACTED THE RELEVANT OFFICER(S) REGARDING YOUR CONCERNS OVER THIS DECISION?**

Yes

Date 5<sup>th</sup> Sept

No

If no please explain why.

**4. HAVE YOU CONTACTED THE RELEVANT EXECUTIVE MEMBER(S) REGARDING YOUR CONCERNS OVER THIS DECISION?**

Yes

Date 4<sup>th</sup> Oct

No

If no please explain why.

**5. HAVE YOU CONTACTED THE CHAIR OR VICE CHAIR OF OSMC/THE RELEVANT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE IN RESPECT OF YOUR CONCERNS?**

Yes

Date 17<sup>th</sup> Oct

Who: Mr John Moahey


No

If no please explain why.

**6. SUGGESTING AN OUTCOME** What action do you believe should be taken in respect of the called-in decision? (see section 14 of the Call In Protocol).

1. Defer the decision back to the Executive for reconsideration.
2. Request that the decision be deferred until the OSMC or the Panel has considered the relevant issues and made recommendations to the Executive.
3. Take no action in relation to the Called-In decision but consider whether issues arising from the Call-In need to be added to the Work Programme of any existing or new Overview and Scrutiny Standing Panel/OSMC.
4. If, but only if (having taking the advice of the Monitoring Officer and/or the Chief Finance Officer), the Committee determines that the decision is wholly or partly outside the Budget and Policy Framework refer the matter, with any recommendations, to the Council after following the procedure in Rule 8 of the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules. Only in this case is there a continuing bar on implementing the decision.

**7. CALL-IN SUPPORTED BY THE FOLLOWING** (this should be signed by 4 Members not all from the same political group or any 5 Members – letters/emails of support may be attached to this form).

Name	Political Group	Signature
Cllr Clive Stone	UKIP	
Cllr June Cox	Conservative	
Cllr Steve Cox	"	
Cllr Nicola Allen	Conservative	

**Signature of Councillor submitting the form**



**Date:** 18/10/16

**For Office Use only:**

Call In Approved/Not Approved\* (Delete as necessary)



Signed

(Monitoring Officer)

Date 19.10.16

1. The 2 sites will be handed back to the Council wherever the new home is so should not be used as part of the consideration. Point 12
2. The report presented to cabinet has not given any options for other sites to be used in recommendations. The only consideration was Goodison Boulevard. Point 13 and 10
3. The valuation of 2 acres of land at each site are:

Goodison Boulevard    £ 692,307

Rossington                £ 585,879

Net difference £106,482

Why is this value for money for Doncaster residents, losing an asset worth over £100,000 more.

The loss of potential revenue for DMBC by not developing Goodison Boulevard for housing has not been addressed within this report (i.e council Tax) . Point 12

4. The consultation process with the care homes has been weighted and biased towards Goodison boulevard as residents were not made aware of any other alternative i.e Rossington site. Point 11

5. The Rossington site is less open and therefore offers a better and safer site for a care home, the potential for antisocial is much greater in Goodison Boulevard. Has the health and wellbeing of some of Doncaster's most vulnerable residents been considered effectively? Who has been consulted i.e where is the report from the neighbourhood team/police. Point 9 and 11

6. The UDP/core strategy states that no more than 45% of land in open space should be developed.

Goodison Boulevard development = 77% of overall land

Rossington development = 31% of overall land

The Mayor stated that herself and the cabinet did not have specific information to hand regarding this but would provide a full response via planning. To date this has not been provided. Point 6 and 8

7. The Mayor and cabinet are confused and have been ill advised with the information they have used to make this decision. Below are 2 quotes from questions asked in cabinet, they would appear to contradict each other. Both quotes are relating to Rossington.

“However there are currently limited opportunities to realise the value and develop it for housing mainly due to a lack of road frontage and limited existing access”

“but would also enable the future development of mixed tenure housing in Rossington”

8. What would lead a private company to invest in a presumptive planning application to DMBC planning prior to cabinet approval of the land dispersal.  
Point 10

9. Options considered: Option 1 “This is the preferred option” by whom?